

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Fatent and Trademark Office Address: COMM(SSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bo. 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.turbin.com

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/720,629	11/24/2003	Preston F. Crow	EMC-99-027DIV1	4258	
24227 7:	590 03/29/2006		EXAMINER		
EMC CORPORATION			MAHMOUDI, HASSAN		
OFFICE OF THE	HE GENERAL COUNSEL TREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
HOPKINTON, MA 01748			2165		
			D. TE MAIL ED 02/00/000	DATE MAIL ED. 02/20/2007	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/720,629	CROW ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Tony Mahmoudi	2165		

	Tony Mahmoudi	2165	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 10 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: 	ving replies: (1) an amendment, at tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in e with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	ffidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth tter than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	ng date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing d	t of the fee. The appropri ginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to They raise new issues that would require further corton. They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) They are not deemed to place the application in better 	nsideration and/or search (see NC w);	OTE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) ⊠ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		jected claims.	
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all 	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	•	
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 21-28. Claim(s) objected to: 17-20. Claim(s) rejected: 16. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ill be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and			
was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	•	, ,,	•
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.		1/1/1/1/1	nge because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. ☐ Other:	OTINE STATES	FREY GAFFIN PY PATCN! EXAMINE LOGY CENTER 2100	R

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The applicant has introduced one new independent claim and three new dependent claims (4 new claims) without canceling a corresponding number of claims from the "Finally Rejected" claims.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

- 1. Applicant has added four new claims without canceling a corresponding number of claims from the "Finally Rejected" claim set.
- 2. Applicant's arguments presented in the response to After Final rejection, dated 10-March-2006 have been fully considered but are not deemed persuasive:

In response to the applicant's arguments that "Soltis teaches against" combining its invention with that one Duvall, the arguments have been fully considered but are not found persuasive. Soltis, in paragraph 79 states, "[e]ach inode file 180 contains a list of extents that address data blocks storing file real-data. To minimize meta-data space, each extent 184 may address several consecutive device data blocks", which is indicative of each inode having multiple extents, addressing several data blocks, which is in line with the teachings of Duvall for the single inode being used to indicate different logical volumes from one another.